

Forecasting energy wood moisture change with meteorological grid data

Johanna Ruotsalainen, Finnish Meteorological Institute Johanna Routa, Jari Lindblad and Lauri Sikanen, Natural Resources Institute Finland Marja Kolström, University of Eastern Finland



Backround

 Energy wood (e.g. chipped harvesting residue and small diameter stem wood) is used in heating and power plants

 In Finland, 7.3 million cubic metres of forest chips were consumed in 2016

 The moisture content of energy wood is one important measure of quality and it effects to heating value and the amount of usable energy

 Transporting water increases transportation costs and CO₂ emissions

• Natural drying is used to decrease moisture content

 Projects with Natural Resources Institute Finland and University of Eastern Finland





- Model for estimating drying of energy wood
- Define conversion factor from mass to volume



Goals

- Model for estimating drying of energy wood
 - helps operators in decision making (transporting schedule and location)
 - Small diameter stem wood and harvesting residues
 - both at roadside storage and also in stand
 - Previous approach: mainly "educated guess"
 - Simple easy to adopt in forest companies Enteprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems
- Define conversion factor from mass to volume



Field trial in llomantsi, Eastern Finland

- 10 drying racks built on load cells
- Continuous weight measuring system
- Weight changes ~ moisture changes
- Cover papers in the sides and bottom of the racks
 - Minimize the difference between the trial and much bigger real road side storages





Energy wood drying "park" at the Research Station



8 piles simulating road side storages and 2 simulating in stand storages Piles contain stem wood and harvesting residue. Some of the piles are covered also on top.

Weather data

- Observations from weather station located at the Research Station
- 10km x 10km gridded data

 Kriging interpolated weather observations

 Also some nwp-model analyse data, because there is not enough radiation or manual cloud observations in Finland

 Precipitation mainly from radar observations





Models

 Using precipitation and evaporation, estimated with Penman-Monteith equation, worked best

- Linear regression model
- DMC=a +b*net evaporation
 - DMC Daily Moisture Change
 - a and b constants
 - net evaporation precipitation
- Moisture content MC_n=MC_{n-1}-DMC_n
- Different constants for stem wood and harvesting residues, cover and non cover, different wood species, in stand and road side storages



Modelling stem wood





Challenges

Harvesting residues

higher surface area to volume ratio

 effects on evaporation rate and precipitation runoff

Microclimate

 Height and structure of the pile

Location, shadows

 Some inaccuracies with radar and radiation data

 Snow under and above the pile





Snow under and above





FINNISH METEOROLOGICAL INSTITUTE



Examples of road side storages







Goals

- Model for estimating drying of energy wood
- Define conversion factor from mass to volume
 - harvesting residues

•Previous approach: table with large geografical areas, seasons, averages

•needed in legal transactions (e.g. paying stumpage fees and transportation fees)

weather based moisture content model with higher accuracy

Weight	Moisture	Time period				Green
class	content					density factor
	%	1.430.4.	1.5.–15.8.	16.830.9.	1.1031.3.	kg/m ³
1	> 50	Non-seasoned or wet residues, with snow and ice				950
2	45-50	fresh, 20 days ↓	fresh, 10 days \downarrow	fresh, 20 days	fresh	840
				\downarrow		
3	40–44	\geq 20 days	\rightarrow 15 days \downarrow	\geq 20 days	\geq 20 days	770
4	35–39	-	20 days ↓	20 days ↑	20 days ↑	700
5	30–34	-	35 days ↓	20 days ↑	-	650
6	< 30	-	\geq 80 days	20 days ↑	-	600

New models 1 and 2

 $MCwet = 100 \times MCdry / (1 + MCdry)$ $MCdry_{i+1} = MCdry_i + b \times P_R + S_{i+1} \times a \times E_R$ $b = b_{11} \times (b_{12} - MCdry_i)$ $P_R = b_{21} \times (1 - \exp(P_{i+1} / b_{22}))$ $a = a_{11} \times (a_{12} + MCdry_i)$ $E_R = a_{21} \times (1 - \exp(E_{i+1} / a_{22}))$

$$MCwet_m = MCwet_0 - \Delta MCwet$$

$$\Delta MCwet = -16,397 \times \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{m} P_i}{\sum_{i=0}^{m} E_i} + 20,6$$





Used field data from four different locations,
 49 harvesting areas in total

 Median difference was smaller in the new models 1 and 2

• In model 3, the current method, about -3%

underestimates observed moisture content









We have developed models to predict energy wood moisture
Integrated in some forest companies Enteprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems
According to field material, the new harvesting residue models are slightly better than the current method
More research is needed





