Frequency and Characteristics of Volcanic Ash and Dust Suspension Events in Iceland

M. K. Butwin, S. von Löwis, M. A. Pfeffer, Th. Thorsteinsson





UNIVERSITY OF ICELAND INSTITUTE OF EARTH SCIENCES

Effect of eruptions?

- How do explosive eruptions effect frequency of suspended material?
- What type of material is suspended?



Sources in Iceland

- 20% of Iceland is covered in desert
- Originating from glacial sediment and volcanic eruptions
- Frequently windy conditions
- PM size dependent on source
- 135 days/year



Data and Methods

- Weather observations of PM over 50 year period
 - Look for seasonal and spatial differences
 - Changes in frequency immediately following explosive eruption
 - Source area of PM
- Surface sample examination
 - Fresh ash vs. current material



Is PM coming from Source Area?

- Plotted wind direction when PM was observed
- Most PM was observed when wind was coming from large source area



Annual Observations



- No noticeable decrease in number of observations after eruptions
- Highest frequency occurring every spring

Seasonality

Average number of PM observations for every month



Frequency Following Eruptions



A Closer Look – 2 years post Eruption







Confirmation via Satellite



September 2010

May 2011





October 2011

August 2012



Type of Material Suspended

- Surface samples taken
 - During Eyjafjallajökull and Grímsvötn 2011
 - South coast and
 Highlands



Physical Characteristics



Vík, 2010

Skógarfoss, 2017

Landeyjasandur, 2017



Summary and Conclusions

- Explosive eruptions do not significantly change the frequency of observed PM in Iceland
 - Increase in observations only seen during and shortly after eruption
 - Evidence of new ash deposits only seen on the glaciers
- Seasonality shows spring to autumn producing the most dust events
- Only areas with vegetation seem to have particles similar to fresh ash
 - Aeolian and water erosion seem to dominate exposed surface samples, no ash found



Dust devil, S. Iceland by L. Lehnert